

## **Core and Satellite Investing – A strategy for Portfolio Management**

**Dr. J. K. Singh**

Associate Professor in Department of Commerce  
Aryabhata College, University of Delhi.

### **Abstract**

*It is well known fact that the objective of portfolio management is to maximize returns and minimize risk through distribution of allocated fund in different securities. Portfolio Managers adopt different strategies to achieve their desired level of return and generate return higher than market index return. In the recent era, the concept of core and satellite portfolio management has emerged under which a part of the fund remain invested in securities offering steady returns with low level of beta and remaining part is used for investment in sectoral index which remain in focus for a certain period of time. The present study aims to explain the concept of core and satellite portfolio management and statistically examines its significance in Indian stock market. Statistical test such as K-S test, Levene's statistic, t-test, etc have been employed using SPSS ( Statistical Package for Social Sciences) and appropriate conclusions have been drawn using the output of various tests.*

**Keywords:** *Portfolio Management, Core and satellite portfolio, K-S test, Levene's Statistic, ANOVA, Post hoc test, Multiple comparison, Nifty 50, Nifty Auto Index, Nifty FMCG Index.*

### **Introduction**

The purpose of investment in equity and debt markets through creation of portfolio of securities is to diversify risk without compromising for reasonable level of return. Depending upon the risk appetite of investors, fund managers offer different kind of schemes constituting of fixed income securities and equity. The ability of fund managers is measured from the excess return over market index generated by the portfolio being managed by him. Therefore, most of the fund

managers keeps on adopting different strategies to beat the market index through adjustment of weight of securities in their portfolios or replacement of securities. The process is referred as portfolio revision. Based on the same premise, the concept of core and satellite portfolio has developed. Core-satellite investing aim to create portfolios with the objective of minimizing risk and at the same time outperforming the broad market index. The core of the portfolio consists of passive investments which includes market index like Nifty 50 and additional positions, known as satellites, are added to the portfolio in the form of actively managed investments. The present study highlights the utility of this kind of investment strategy in Indian stock market.

### ***Review of existing Literature***

The literature on ESG portfolio is limited as the concept has evolved in the recent past. However, the contribution of some of the researchers in this area is very informative and provides good framework for further research. Donald J. Mulvihill (2005) in his study entitled, “Core and Satellite Portfolio Structure - Investment and Tax Considerations” observed that there are three primary sources of investment risk and return: interest rate risk, equity market risk, and active risk. Interest rate risk and equity market risk are associated with the variable returns to bond and stock markets. Active or manager risk includes the pursuit of additional returns from active portfolio management. The research also observed that the traditional approach to portfolio structure involves hiring active portfolio managers to implement allocations to stock or bond markets. Under this approach, active management is bundled with market exposure. In case of core and satellite approach, active management is separated from ownership of an asset class after examining the investment and tax considerations relevant to the choice of portfolio structure. N. Amenc, Philippe Malaise and Lionel Martellini (2004) in their study, “Revisiting Core Satellite Investing” discussed a new methodology based on an optimal dynamic adjustment of the fractions invested in a passive core versus an active satellite portfolio allows investors to gain full access to good tracking error, while keeping bad tracking error below a given threshold.

### ***Objective of the study***

The traditional style of portfolio management focuses on the specific sector whereas core and satellite investing allows allocation of funds from routine investment strategy towards an emerging investing alternative and thereby generating higher returns for the investors. The study has been conducted to examine the opportunity of generating excess return by the fund managers by allocating funds in different sectors from time to time. It has been observed that some of the sectoral index generate significantly different return in comparison to market index at the same point of time. Such opportunities can be used by investor and portfolio managers to adopt core satellite investing strategy for generating reasonably higher level of return.

**Research Methodology**

The returns ( in percentage) on daily basis generated by the Nifty Auto Index and Nifty FMCG have been calculated and compared against the return generated by the Nifty 50 index for the period 1<sup>st</sup> February, 2019 to 15<sup>th</sup> October,2019 . The days when the significant difference occurred among the return on daily basis in these three indices were noticed and shortlisted for the study, as shown in the table 1 below:

S.No	Trading Date	Return on Nifty Index	Return on Auto Index	Return on FMCG Index	Diff b/w Nifty and Auto index	Diff b/w Nifty and FMCG index	Diff b/w Auto and FMCG index
1	1-Feb-19	0.578897	2.711598	1.340076	2.132701	0.761179	1.371522
2	11-Mar-19	1.202041	2.331461	1.037376	1.12942	-0.16467	1.294085
3	24-Apr-19	1.297518	-0.4244	0.44663	-1.72192	-0.85089	-0.87103
4	17-May-19	1.332937	2.456316	2.509349	1.123379	1.176412	-0.05303
5	20-May-	3.69154	4.159787	1.954132	0.468243	-1.73741	2.205655

	19	4					
6	21-May-19	-1.00733	-2.5249	-0.03192	-1.51757	0.97541	-2.49298
7	22-May-19	0.24596 3	0.451918	-0.95046	0.205955	-1.19642	1.402378
8	24-May-19	1.60460 8	2.961579	0.812817	1.356971	-0.79179	2.148762
9	29-May-19	-0.56712	-1.38482	-0.18208	-0.8177	0.38504	-1.20274
10	30-May-19	0.71494 2	-0.55784	-0.03931	-1.27278	-0.75425	-0.51853
11	8-Jul-19	-2.13823	-3.25897	-1.26313	-1.12074	0.8751	-1.99584
12	11-Jul-19	0.73050 5	1.861233	0.478836	1.130728	-0.25167	1.382397
13	17-Jul-19	0.21350 3	-1.02173	0.843305	-1.23523	0.629802	-1.86504
14	18-Jul-19	-0.77519	-2.73857	-0.20379	-1.96338	0.5714	-2.53478
15	19-Jul-19	-1.53187	-3.30636	-1.78098	-1.77449	-0.24911	-1.52538
16	22-Jul-19	-0.63971	0.382329	-1.07691	1.022039	-0.4372	1.459239
17	24-Jul-19	-0.52731	-1.95007	0.005519	-1.42276	0.532829	-1.95559
18	26-Jul-19	0.28572 3	2.153183	0.547724	1.86746	0.262001	1.605459
19	29-Jul-19	-0.84276	-3.57188	-0.57425	-2.72912	0.26851	-2.99763
20	30-Jul-19	-0.92768	-2.05555	-0.38085	-1.12787	0.54683	-1.6747
21	31-Jul-19	0.29408 1	1.299575	0.697567	1.005494	0.403486	0.602008
22	1-Aug-19	-1.24123	0.064948	-0.48373	1.306178	0.7575	0.548678
23	7-Aug-19	-0.84717	-2.15846	-0.1137	-1.31129	0.73347	-2.04476
24	8-Aug-19	1.63004	3.030504	1.101006	1.400455	-0.52904	1.929498

		9					
25	9-Aug-19	0.69975 4	1.98544	0.584928	1.285686	-0.11483	1.400512
26	13-Aug-19	-1.65442	-3.94611	-1.95787	-2.29169	-0.30345	-1.98824
27	20-Aug-19	-0.33382	1.168965	-0.58136	1.502785	-0.24754	1.750325
28	26-Aug-19	2.11000 7	0.492248	1.594997	-1.61776	-0.51501	-1.10275
29	27-Aug-19	0.42955 9	1.853975	0.764426	1.424416	0.334867	1.089549
30	28-Aug-19	-0.53353	-1.87895	-0.38804	-1.34542	0.14549	-1.49091
31	4-Sep-19	0.43295 5	-1.67736	-0.14339	-2.11032	-0.57635	-1.53397
32	5-Sep-19	0.02996 9	2.059849	-0.05448	2.02988	-0.08445	2.114329
33	6-Sep-19	0.90616 6	2.610611	-0.20986	1.704445	-1.11603	2.820471
34	11-Sep-19	0.29673 6	3.604151	-0.29918	3.307415	-0.59592	3.903331
35	12-Sep-19	-0.47935	-1.88049	-0.64031	-1.40114	-0.16096	-1.24018
36	17-Sep-19	-1.68946	-3.83391	-0.2659	-2.14445	1.42356	-3.56801
37	20-Sep-19	5.31910 9	9.901598	4.408481	4.582489	-0.91063	5.493117
38	25-Sep-19	-1.27716	-3.89541	-1.23418	-2.61825	0.04298	-2.66123

39	26-Sep-19	1.14508 5	2.533984	0.329012	1.388899	-0.81607	2.204972
40	15-Oct-19	0.76844 1	2.232609	1.101304	1.464168	0.332863	1.131305

**Table 1: Return on daily basis generated by Nifty , Nifty Auto and Nifty FMCG Index**

It can be observed from the above table that significant difference occurred in the returns offered by these three indices on the same trading day. In order to examine , if these differences , are statistically significant or not, ANOVA test was used. Therefore, the study test the null hypothesis that there is no significant difference among the returns generated by these indices.

<p><b>i.e.     <math>H_0 : \text{Mean Return on Nifty} = \text{Mean Return on Nifty Auto} = \text{Mean Return on Nifty FMCG}</math> ( Null Hypothesis)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><math>H_a: \text{Mean Return on stocks} \neq \text{Mean Return on NIFTY 500} \neq \text{Mean Return on Nifty FMCG}</math></b> (Alternative Hypothesis)</p>
---

The statistical test , One way Anova , has been employed for the study using SPSS after ensuring compliance of necessary conditions required for the same. It has been ensured that the samples are independent of each other because majority of the stocks of these indices are not existing simultaneously in all the three indices. The conditions with regard to independence of the samples under the study has been met. The other conditions such as normality of the data and homogeneity of variance have been examined using Kolmogorov Smirnov test and Levene’s test respectively. Finally, Anova test and multiple comparison test has been conducted on the sample and meaningful interpretations were made.

***Analysis and Interpretation of Data under study***

All the test have been conducted using 5% level of significance. For the purpose of inferring on the basis of ANOVA, the assumption of normality of data and homogeneity of variances has been assessed using K-S test and Levene’s test respectively. Finally, Post - hoc analysis using multiple comparison has been used to find the areas in which differences are significant.

The assumption of normality of data has been examined using one sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (K-S test ) using SPSS. The result of the output are summarized below in **table 2**.

<b>One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test</b>		
		Return on indices
N		120
Normal Parameters <sup>a,b</sup>	Mean	.2240887
	Std. Deviation	1.96292659
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.077
	Positive	.077
	Negative	-.055
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		.838
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.484
a. Test distribution is Normal.		
b. Calculated from data.		

**Table 2: SPSS output of One sample Kolmogorov – Smirnov Test**

As can be observed from above calculation , p-value (Asymp. Sig) is greater than .05 , therefore, we can concluded that our data complies with the condition of normality . In order to test the

homogeneity of variances among return , Levene statistic has been calculated using SPSS , as shown below in table 3:

<b>Test of Homogeneity of Variances</b>			
Return on Indices			
Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
18.433	2	117	.062

**Table 3: SPSS output of Levene statistic test**

The Levene statistic has been used to test the null hypothesis if there is significant difference among variance of the variables namely Return on the three indices under study. As the p-value of Levene's test is greater than significance level of 0.05, the differences in sample variances are likely to have occurred based on random sampling from a population with equal variances. Thus, the null hypothesis of equal variances is not rejected and it is concluded that there is no difference between the variances in the population.

The compliance of test of normality and homogeneity validates the use of ANOVA test to find out if the returns are significantly different or not. The output of ANOVA test obtained by using SPSS on the data given in table 1 is summarized in table 4

<b>ANOVA</b>					
Return					
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.081	2	.040	.010	.990
Within Groups	458.436	117	3.918		
Total	458.517	119			

**Table 4: SPSS output of ANOVA test**

As the Significance value happens to be more than 0.05 , we can conclude that that there is no statistically significant difference among three variables under study. In order to further ensure that there is no difference among the variables under study , post hoc test was conducted

**Post Hoc Test and Analysis**

It can be observed from **table 5** that no significant difference have been observed among variables under study .

<b>Multiple Comparisons</b>							
Dependent Variable: Return on Indices							
	(I)	(J)	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound
LSD	Nifty	Nifty Auto Index	-.03238322	.44262038	.942	-.9089697	.8442032
		Nifty FMCG Index	.03112368	.44262038	.944	-.8454628	.9077101
	Nifty Auto Index	Nifty	.03238322	.44262038	.942	-.8442032	.9089697
		Nifty FMCG Index	.06350690	.44262038	.886	-.8130796	.9400934
	Nifty FMCG	Nifty	-.03112368	.44262038	.944	-.9077101	.8454628

	Index	Nifty Auto Index	-.06350690	.44262038	.886	-.9400934	.8130796
--	-------	------------------	------------	-----------	------	-----------	----------

**Table 5 : SPSS output of Multiple comparison test**

**Conclusion of the Study**

Significant difference were observed among the daily returns offered by the three indices on certain days during the period Feb01,2019 to October 15, 2019 which implies that opportunities for making excess returns over market return is available to the fund managers provided the sectoral indices likely to outperform the broader market index could be predicted precisely. The return among the indices were not found to be statistically significant different. This could have been due to the shorter time horizon of the study. The study therefore concludes that portfolio managers can adopt core satellite investing strategy for the long period of time and it will enable them to generate significant excess return over the market index return. This strategy is more suitable during the period when the broader market index is in consolidation phase and moves in a narrow range.

**References**

- i. Donald J Mulvihill (2005). *Core and Satellite Portfolio Structure - Investment and Tax Considerations*,  
*The Journal of Wealth Management Summer 2005, 8 (1) 14-28*
- ii. Noël Amenc, Philippe Malaise and Lionel Martellini (2004). *Revisiting Core-Satellite Investing*,*The Journal of Portfolio Management Fall 2004, 31 (1) 64-75*
- iii. Girija Gadre, Arti Bhargava and Labdhi Mehta.(2019) ,” *What are the core and satellite strategy of building a portfolio?*” *Economics Times dated 14 October, 2019*
- iv. [www.nseindia.com](http://www.nseindia.com)