Analysis of MIMO System By Employing Various Detection Techniques in Rician Channel or Line Of Sight (LOS) Communication

Gagandeep Singh Walia¹, Krishan Arora², Gurpreet Singh³

^{1,2,3} Assistant Professor, Schoolof Electronics and Electrical Engineering, Lovely Professional University

Corresponding Author: gagandeep.19715@lpu.co.in

Abstract—Today in every wireless communication study, MIMO system has been examined which increases the data rate capacity and reliability of the system. In this paper, Author exploit the analysis of the Spatial Multiplexing MIMO system at high SNR area by using various concepts like BPSK, 16-QAM & QPSK in which astep by step MIMO communication over an independent identically distributed Rician channel with ' N_T ' Sending antennas and ' N_R ' receptor antennas ($N_R \times N_T$) are used. In this research paper, Author has suggested an alternate recognition procedure with various regulation methods lastly it has inferred that Maximum Likelihood (ML) disentangling strategy utilizing BPSK balance plot gives better outcome, QPSK balance gives relatively homogeneous outcomes as BPSK and furthermore presumed that BER execution of 16-QAM Modulation conspire produces substandard outcome as compared to balance systems in Rician channel. After again deliberation of Spatial Multiplexing MIMO system at different configuration of antenna then it is interpreted that 1×4 antenna for SpatialMultiplexing MIMO System in Ricianfading channel gives a superior results than other configuration of antennas.

Keywords:Zero-Forcing, SVD (Singular Value Decomposition), Spatial Multiplexing MIMO System (SM-MIMO)

I. INTRODUCTION

In this study, multiple antenna systems are used inste ad of a single antenna system to boost the capacity of the radio channel that can be enhanced at both transm itter and receiver with the help of antenna arrays.

Furthermore, there has been increasing interest in the MIMO technologies in both UMTS and CDMA2000 nowadays. In 1996, Diagonal Bell Laboratories proposed Space-Time architecture which is commonly called as D-BLAST. This enhances the capacity and system's rate of sending the data. This architecture now provides the benchmark for MIMO wireless communications. To reduce the architectural complications of D-BLAST, [1] an easier variant of D-BLAST called Vertical-Bell Laboratories (V-BLAST) architecture (Spatial Multiplexing MIMO System) is used [3] and to begin with functional MIMO this design usage of on remote correspondences, it's phantom proficiency should be nearby 40bits/s/Hz. Numerous plans has been

planned to detonate such phantom proficiency of Multiple input multiple output channels, and V-BLAST [2] is generally straightforward and easier to execute which can accomplish an expansive unearthly effectiveness. It has been exhibited that (BLAST) form of coding[4] can achieve efficiencies of up to 42 bits / sec / Hz in the otherworld. This poin ts to a massive improvement in cell flexible and remo te LAN systems, compared with historically achievab le unearthly efficiencies of 2-3 bits / sec / Hz.

II. RICIAN CHANNEL

The conduct of H can fundamentally veer off from Hw because of a mix of unequal radio wire dividing as well as unequal dispersing prompting spatial blurring connection. Besides, the nearness of a settled (conceivably viewable pathway or LOS) [8] segment in the divert will bring about Ricean blurring [5]. Within the sight of a LOS segment between sender and receptor, the Multipleinput multiple output channel might be acclimated as expansion of settled segment and a blurring part which is given by above condition

$$\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{1+\kappa}} \overline{\mathcal{H}} + \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{1+\kappa}} \dots \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

 $\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{1+\kappa}}\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{H}] \quad \text{is Line Of Sight}$ component of the channel.

component of the channel.

$$\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{1+\kappa}}\mathcal{H}_{w}$$
 is the fading component.

• $\kappa > 0$ in equation is the Rician [7] k-factor of the channel

• When $\kappa = 0$, perfect Rayleigh fading channel.

• extreme $\kappa = \infty$ channel non fading

III. SPATIAL MULTIPLEXING MIMO SYSTEM:

Spatial Multiplexed MIMO System (SM- MIMO) [12] can transferhigh speed data as compared toantenna diversity technique. Nonetheless, flag recognition at collector side is testing assignment for SM - MIMO frameworks which gives a chance to assume the $N_R \times N_T$ MIMO framework in Figure (1). Here is an opportunity to indicate a channel network with it (j, i)th passage hjifor channel pick up out of the ith transmitting radio wire and jth receiving devi ce j=1,2 ... The spatially-multiplexed client information, relating signals are

 $x = [x_1, x_2, x_3 \dots \dots \dots xN_T]^T$ $y = [y_1, y_2, y_3 \dots \dots \dots yN_R]^T,$ respectively,

where x_i and y_j denotes signals from the ith transmitter and *j*th is the receiver signal at receiver.

IV. SIGNAL DETECTION OF SM-MIMO SYSTEM:

Linear signal detection technique regards every transmitted signals as obstructions with the exception of the coveted stream from the objective transmit radio wire. Consequently, interference signal from other transmitter orreceiving xxxwires are limited over span of distinguishing the coveted signals from the objective transmit reception apparatus. To encourage the identification of wanted signs from every receiving wire, the impact of channel is rearranged by weight framework W [11] with the end goal that



Fig.1 Spatial Multiplexing MIMO System

The most common linear exposure techniques comprise of ZF method, MMSE method and (ML) Technique

A. ZF Signal Detection

Zero-forcing (ZF) method restrict interferences by using:

The power of the posttracking noise can be measured using SVD[10].

Where $\tilde{Z}_{ZF} = W_{ZF} z$

B. MMSE signal detection

To increase post-tracking signal-to-interference plus noise ratio (SINR) [9], use of MMSE weight matrix is presented by following condition

By using SVD, post-tracking power of noise is given by

When channel matrix is high the signal to noise ratio in terms of linear filtering is quite significant i.e. the noise enhancement effect because of least singular value [8] for the ZF and MMSE linear detectors are shown by

$$E\left\{\left\|\tilde{Z}_{ZF}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{T}} \frac{\sigma_{Z}^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} \approx \frac{\sigma_{Z}^{2}}{\sigma_{min}^{2}} for ZF$$

$$E\left\{\left\|\tilde{Z}_{MMSE}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{T}} \frac{\sigma_{Z}^{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}}{(\sigma_{i}^{2} + \sigma_{Z}^{2})^{2}}$$
$$\approx \frac{\sigma_{Z}^{2} \sigma_{min}^{2}}{(\sigma_{min}^{2} + \sigma_{Z}^{2})^{2}} for MMSE \dots \dots \dots \dots (8)$$

Comparison of Eq (6) and Eq (8), shows that result of noise improvement in MMSE filtering is smaller amount of vital than in ZF filtering [9]. Secondly if $\sigma_{min}^2 > \sigma_Z^2$ and thus $\sigma_{min}^2 + \sigma_Z^2 \approx \sigma_{min}^2$, therefore noise improvement effects of two linear filters comes to be similar. Diversity with ZF technique is $N_R - N_T + 1$. When there is one sender antenna and many receptor antennas then ZF receiver could be considered similar to MRC [13] receiver which has diversity order of N_R.

C. OSIC Signal Detection

By an ordered successive interference cancelation (O SIC) method, we can maximize output without any in crease in the complexity [9].It uses a series of linear receptors which is able to receive only one of the data stream with the detected signal components successively canceled from the received signal at each stage. More specifically, the observed signal is separated from the transmitted signal at each stage so that the remaining signal with decreased interference can be used and all remaining signals can be used in subsequent stages to nullify the interference.



Fig.2Ordered Successive Interference Cancellation model

Let x (i) represents symbol in the ithorder that differ f rom sender signal in the ith antenna as x (i)depends o n the detection order. Let $\tilde{x}_{(i)}$ represents sliced value of $x_{(i)}$. In OSIC [3], ZF method or MMSE method could represent symbol estimation[5].Assume MMSE form is used in the discussion below. The 1st stream is roughly calculated with 1st row vector of the MMSE weight matrix [6] in equation (9) After estimation and slicing to produce $\tilde{x}_{(i)}$, unused signal at this point is generated by subtraction from the received signal, and is given by,

$$\tilde{y}_{(1)} = y - h_{(1)} = h(x_{(1)} - \tilde{x}_{(1)}) + h_{(2)}x_{(1)} + \dots \dots + hx_{(N_T)} + z \dots \dots \dots (9)$$

If $x_{(1)} = \tilde{x}_{(1)}$ then interference gets cancelled in estimating $x_{(2)}$; however, if $x_{(1)} \neq \tilde{x}_{(1)}$, then propagation error gets introduced due to MMSE weight when $x_{(1)} = \tilde{x}_{(1)}$ is used for estimating $x_{(2)}$

D. ML Signal Detection

Maximum likelihood (ML) detection [11] calculates theEuclideandistanceamong the signal vector obtaine d and the sum of all possible signal vectors transmitte d with the given channel H, and chooses one with least distance. Here N_T be sender antenna number signal constellation symbol points is represented by C and a number of transmit antennas. Therefore, transmitted signal vector x is given due to ML detection as

Where $||y - \mathcal{H}x||^2$ represents ML metric. The ML method gets optimum output as the maximum a posteriori (MAP) detection [6] considering all sending vectors are equal. Nevertheless, as the order of modulation or the numb er of sending antennas grows, the complexity rises dr amatically.ML metric calculation is given by $|C|^{N_T}$. With MML calculations of ML metric has been decreased from $|C|^{N_T} to |C|^{N_T-1}$ by the modified ML (MML) detection method [14]. Therefore it can be used for decreasing complexity when N_T=2. Still the complexity is high for $N_T \ge 3$.

V. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

I perform all the simulation on MATLAB 7.0 to find BER analysis of Spatial Multiplexing MIMO System. I reproduce the BER execution of SM-MIMO System utilizing different finders like Maximum Likelihood, MMSE, ZF, ZF-SIC, and MMSE -SIC in Ricean level blurring channel by sending the distinctive



Figure 3.Comparison of ZF-SM-MIMO System using different modulation techniques

In Figure 3, It has been examined that BPSK and QPSK in ZF got similar results and 16 QAM has inferior result than both. We got 3 dB difference between the BPSKand16 QAM modulations at 0.01BER.



Fig. 4 Comparison of MMSE-SM-MIMO System using different modulation techniques

In Figure 4, in MMSE ,BPSK and 16QAM differ by 6dB at 0.01 BER whereas QPSK and BPSK have almost the coequal results.

In Figure 5, in ZF-OSIC in Ricean Channel, BPSK and QAM differ by 4dB at 0.01 whereas Binary and





Fig. 5 Comparison of ZF-OSIC SM-MIMO System using different modulation techniques



Fig.6 Comparison of MMSE-OSIC SM-MIMO System using different modulation technique

In Figure 6, in MMSEOSIC ,BPSK and 16QAM differ by 8dB at 0.01 BER whereas Binary and Quadrature Phase shift keyings got similar results and 16 QAM got second rate result than Binary and Quadrature Phase shift keyings.

Tx = 2,Rx = 2,BER PERFORMANCE OF VBLAST USING BPSK modulation IN RICIAN CH.



Fig.7 Comparison of different detection techniques of SM-MIMO System in BPSK modulation technique

The above diagram Fig.7 is graph among BER and SNR utilizing BPSK in Rician Channels [5]. It gives an examination among the distinctive indicators like ML, ZF-OSIC, ZF, MMSE and MMSE-OSIC. These gets utilized at recipient in V-BLAST System. It is resulted with ML getting excellent execution than different identifiers which were utilized at recipient under V BLAST system, ZF have maximum detectably terrible execution. If we look at ZF and ML, execution bend of two finders gets near one another at less SNR yet hole becomes bigger when SNR increases. At this point when SNR increases, post recognition of SNR is for the most part influenced by channel network H. On the off chance that we think about the (MMSE and ZF)-OSIC, at 0.01 BER approximately 4dB distinction among the finders has been noticed. two



Fig.8 BER performance of SM-MIMO System of ML decoder by deploying different antennas

VI. CONCLUSION

Here, we considered MIMO V BLAST framework execution with Ricean channel [5]. Spatial Multiplexing framework is contrasted and diverse tweak procedure and framework shows signs of improvement result in BPSK regulation and 16-QAM balance strategy gives most noticeably bad outcome with various recognition method. Facilitate we reason that ML interpreting procedure is the best mistake identifying strategy than other disentangling strategies. Advance Fig.8 show the recreation comes about for BPSK tweak with just ML translating strategy utilizing differing reception apparatuses at information and yield. In this 1 x 4 recieving wires for SM-MIMO framework exhibit the astounding outcomes as contrast with other radio wire arrangement.

VII. REFERENCES

- [1] G.D.Golden, "Detection algorithm and initial laboratory results using the V-BLAST space-time communication architecture," Electron Lett.vol 35, no 1, pp 1415, 1999.
- [2] G.Ginis, "On the relationship between V-BLAST and GDFE," IEEE Communications letters, vol 5, pp 364-366, September 2001.
- [3] I.E. Telata, "Capacity of multi-antenna Gaussian channels", ETT, vol 10, no6, pp 585-595, Nov/Dec1999
- [4] G.Foschin, "Layered space-time architecture for wireless communication in a fading environment when using multiple antennas", Bell Labs, Technical Journal 2, 1996, Vol 1, no 2, pp 41-59.
- [5] S.Loyka, "Performance analysis of the V-BLAST algorithm: an analytical approach", IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications. Vol 3, pp 1326-1337, July 2004.
- [6] L.Zheng, "Diversity and multiplexing, Fundamental tradeoff in multiple antenna channels", IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol 49, pp 1073-1096, May 2003.
- [7] M.Varanasi, "Optimum decision feedback multiuser equalization with successive decoding achieves the total capacity of the Gaussian multiple-access channel," Conference record of the Thirty-First Asilomar Conference on signals, Systems and Computers, vol 2, pp 1405-1409, Nov-2-5 1997.
- [8] AM. Tulino, "Random Matrix Theory and Wireless Communications", Hanover, MA 02339, Now publishers Inc., 2004.
- [9] E.Biglieri, , "Fading Channel: Information Theoretic and Communication Aspects", IEEE Transaction on Information theory, Vol 44, pp 2619-2692, Oct1998
- [10] H. ElGamal, "The layered space-time architecture: a new perspective", IEEE Transaction, vol 47, pp 2321–2334, Sep 2001.
- [11] IE Telatar, "Capacity of multi-antenna Gaussian channels, "ETT, vol 10, no6, pp 585-595, Nov/Dec 1999.
- [12] A.Paulraj, "Introduction to Space Time Wireless Communications", "Cambridge University Press", May 2003.
- [13] X Li, "Effects of Iterative Detection and Decoding on the Performance of BLAST", IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference, vol.2, pp.1061-10066, Nov 2000.

THINK INDIA JOURNAL

[14] Choi, J, "Adaptive MIMO decision Feedback Equalization for Receivers with time varying channels", IEEE transaction, vol 55, No 7, pp 3405-3416.