

Mass Production Of Alginate Entrapped Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Inoculum And Their Influence On Growth And Nutrition Of Onion

M. Hemalatha

Seethalakshmi Ramaswami College (Autonomous), Tiruchirapalli

INTRODUCTION

The introduction of beneficial organism into soil is one of the present cruces of applied Mycorrhizal research. Isolation and multiplication of native strains pf AMF (Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi) are essential for mass production and tailoring of roots of medicinal cash crops to make them suitable for agricultural soils (Bagyaraj, 1992). Indigenous strains of AM fungi are found to be better colonizers of medicinal plants under pot culturing conditions (Selvaraj, 1989). At present considerable importance is being given to bio-inoculants because of the contemporary sensitivity to environmental pollution and health hazards resulting from the use of chemical fertilizers, Somani *et al.*, (1990). Hence an investigation was carried out for mass multiplication of selected and efficient strains of AMF using Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) as the host plant and to evaluate the possibility of immobilising sand:soil inoculum of Am fungus, *Gigaspora margarita* from infected root segments of *Allium cepa* along with different carrier materials and also to determine their infectivity and influence of plant growth of Onion under pot culturing conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pot cultures were raised by inoculating seven species of predominant AM fungi extracted from soil on Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) seedlings grown separately in steam sterilized soil in pots. After four months, the plants were harvested separately and the roots examined for AM colonization. Sand and soil mixture containing azygospores and infected root segments (chopped) of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) infected with *Gigaspora margarita* served as mycorrhizal inoculum. The inoculum was air dried and passed through 400µm sieve. To an aqueous suspension of sodium alginate (2%) 10 per cent of the sieved sand: soil inoculum of the AM fungus plus 2 per cent of the carrier material (perlite, soilrite, talc, vermiculite, kaolinite and bentonite) were added separately and mixed using a magnetic stirrer. This mixture was passed through a sieve onto 0.1 M sterile calcium chloride solution to form beads. After 30 minutes the beaded inoculum was rinsed with tap water. A portion of the alginate- entrapped wet AM inoculum was dried to surface dryness and stored at 40° C. This formed the carrier based alginate entrapped wet AM inoculum (Kropacek *et al.*, 1989, Strullu and Plenchette, 1991). A portion of the beads were air dried for 5 days to form dry AM alginate inoculum packed in polythene bags and stored at room temperature (32⁺ - 5° C). The pH of the carrier materials used in this study was estimated by using a digital pH meter (substrate: water ratio = 1:10 w/v). The number of propagules in the different carrier based alginate entrapped AM inoculum was determined by the MPN method using four- fold dilutions (Sieverding, 1991). A pot culture experiment was also conducted to know the effect of alginate AM inoculum on the colonization of roots and growth of onion as the host plant. Observations were made on plant, fresh weight and dry weight of shoot and bulb 90 days after planting. The plant, were harvested 90 days after planting. Plant sample were oven dried at 60° C to a constant weight to get plant biomass.

Phosphorous and potassium content of the shoot and bulb samples were determined by the Vanado molybdate phosphoric yellow colour method (Jackson, 1973) and flame photometric method respectively. Mycorrhizal colonization of the root determined by the grid line-intersects method (Giovannetti and Mosse, 1980) after staining the roots with trypan blue (Philips and Hayman, 1970). The data obtained from the pot experiment was subjected to analysis of variance by randomized complete block design and treatment means separated by Duncan's multiple (DMR) test (Little and Hills, 1978).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Immobilization procdures can preserve the physiological properties of Mycorrhizal fungi (Strullu and Plenchetti, 1990) entrapped the intraradical forms and the root segments colonized by AM fungi in calcium alginate beads. The pH of the different carrier materials varied from an acidic to alkaline range (pH 4.62 to 8.02). The moisture content of wet beads was around 82% (Table 1).

Table-1: pH of the different carrier materials and moisture content of the wet alginate beads

Carrier material	pH	Moisture content of wet alginate beads %
Perlite	7.35	82.30
Soilrite	7.25	83.45
Talc	7.90	81.84
Vermiculite	8.02	86.62
Kaolinite	5.62	86.62
Bentonite	5.65	82.40
Sand and soil inoculum	7.46	65.05

Perlite based wet AM alginate beads had highest number of propagules of AM (3.78 per g) inoculum followed by soilrite based wet alginate entrapped AM inoculum (2.72 per g). Among the dry AM alginate beads, the beads with perlite as carrier ranked first with 21.28 propagules of AM per g of the inoculum, while Kaolinite based alginate entrapped AM beads had only 5.05 propagules of AM per g of beads (Table 2).

Table-2: VAM fungal infective (*Gigaspora margarita*) propagule numbers in the different carrier based alginate entrapped VAM inocula

Carrier Material	Wet beads	Dry beads
	* IP g ⁻¹	
Perlite	3.78	21.28
Soilrite	2.72	18.05
Talc	2.19	12.65
Vermiculite	1.25	7.84
Kaolinite	0.95	5.05
Bentonite	0.96	5.12
Sand and soil inoculum	46.99	--

* at 95% confidence level

Inoculation with the AM fungus *Gigaspora margarita* either as sand:soil inoculum or as alginate entrapped inoculum produced plants with greater height and plant biomass. Inoculation of soil with vermiculite based dry beads resulted in plants with maximum height and plant biomass. There was a significant increase in plant P content because of inoculation with alginate entrapped AM inoculum of *Gigaspora margarita* compared to uninoculated control (Table 3).

Table-3: Effect of inoculation with different carrier based alginate entrapped VAM inocula on plant height, biomass, phosphorus content and mycorrhizal root colonization of Onion

Carrier material	Plant height (cm)	Phosphorus content (mg plant ⁻¹)	Plant biomass (g plant ⁻¹)	Mycorrhizal colonization (%)
Wet beads				
Perlite	5.25 ^{cd}	4.20 ^{ab}	2.18 ^{abc}	70.9 ^{ab}
Soilrite	49.5 ^{cd}	3.76 ^{bc}	2.10 ^{abc}	68.2 ^b
Talc	48.5 ^d	3.42 ^{cd}	2.05 ^{cd}	67.4 ^b
Vermiculite	51.2 ^{cd}	3.27 ^{cd}	2.15 ^{cd}	67.5 ^b
Dry beads				
Perlite	75.5 ^a	4.17 ^{ab}	2.50 ^a	70.2 ^{ab}
Soilrite	62.5 ^{abc}	4.12 ^{ab}	2.42 ^{ab}	68.5 ^b
Talc	54.5 ^{cd}	4.10 ^{ab}	2.35 ^{ab}	69.5 ^b
Vermiculite	64.2 ^{ab}	4.15 ^{ab}	2.45 ^{ab}	70.2 ^{ab}
Sand and soil inoculum	65.2 ^{ab}	4.60 ^a	2.50 ^a	76.5 ^a
Control	46.5 ^d	2.91 ^d	2.05 ^d	52.9 ^c

* means with the same superscript do not differ significantly at $P = 0.05$ level by Duncan's multiple range test.

The results of the present study clearly brought out that perlite based dry alginate entrapped VAM inoculum performed better in improving growth and nutrition of onion. Further, the alginate beads could be used for the introduction of VAM fungi along with other beneficial soil microorganisms which promote plant growth.

In the present study root colonization was considerably high in all inoculated plants compared to uninoculated plants. The extent of colonization varied with different VAM fungi. Higher root colonization allows more host fungal contact and exchange of nutrients and helps in better plant growth (Abbott and Robson, 1982). In the present study inoculated onion plants had higher shoot and bulb dry weight, fresh weight and plant P content compared to uninoculated plants. This could be due to low populations of VAM fungi and/or inefficiency of the indigenous fungi. The present study reveals that onion plants differ in their response to inoculation with different native VAM fungi and confirms that VAM fungi do have host preference (Mallesha *et al.*, 1994). Further it reveals that, *Gigaspora margarita* was the best native fungus for inoculating onion plants. The importance of proper selection of efficient VAM fungi for the right medicinal plants and environment may be key for successful use in agriculture.

REFERENCES

1. Abbott, L.K. and A.D. Robson, 1982. The role of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in agriculture and the selection of fungi for inoculation, *Aust. J. Agril. Res.*, **33**: 389-408.
2. Bagyaraj, D.J., 1992. Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza: Application in Agriculture, In: **Methods in Microbiology**, **24**: 359-373.
3. Giovannetti, M. and B. Mosse, 1980. An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal infection in roots, *New Phytol.*, **84**: 489-500 and **108**: 417-423.
4. Jackson, M.L., 1973. **Soil Chemical Analyses**, Prentice Hall, New Delhi, pp.111-120.
5. Kropacek, K., P. Cudlin and V. Mejstrik, 1989. The use of granulated ecto-mycorrhizal inoculum for reforestation of deteriorated regions. *Agri. Ecosys. Environ.*, **28**: 263-269.
6. Little, T.M. and F.J. Hillis, 1978. **Agricultural Experimentation**, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., USA.
7. Mallesha, B.C., T. Padmavathi Ravindra and D.J. Bagyaraj, 1994. Response of tomato to different VA-mycorrhizal fungi, *J. Soil Biol. Ecol.*, **14**: 36-40.
8. Phillips, J.F. and D.S. Hayman, 1970. Improved procedures for clearing root parasitic and staining vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection, *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.*, **55**: 158-160.
9. Selvaraj, T., 1989. Studies on vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae of some crop and medicinal plants, **Ph.D. Thesis**, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Tamil Nadu, India, p.120.
10. Sieverding, E., 1989. Ecology of VAM fungi in tropical agrosystems, *Agric. Ecosys. Environ.*, **29**: 369-390.
11. Somani, L.L., S.C. Bhandari, S.A. Saxena and K.K. Vyas, 1990. **Biofertilizers**, Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur.
12. Strullu, D.G. and C. Plenchette, 1990. Encapsulation de la forme intraracins de *Glomus dans* l'alginate at utilisation des capsules come inoculum compteslendue de l' *Academic des Sciences Ser.*, **111**, **310**: 447-452.
13. Strullu, D.G. and C. Plenchette, 1991. The entrapment of *Glomus* sp. in alginate beads and their use as root inoculum, *Mycol. Res.*, **93**: 1194-1196.