

On Common Fixed Point Theorems in Complex Valued Metric Spaces

Deepak Kumar

Department of Mathematics, School of Chemical Engineering and Physical Sciences, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara 144411, Punjab, India.

Email: deepak.kumar@lpu.co.in

Abstract: In the present manuscript, we establish some theorems using (E.A.)-property & (CLR)-property for two pairs of weakly compatible mappings in the framework of complex valued metric spaces.

Keywords: (E.A)-property, (CLR)-property, weakly compatible mappings, complex valued metric spaces.

1. Introduction

The famous result known as Banach's contraction principle is, if (X, d) is a complete metric space & $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping satisfying $d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y) \forall x, y \in X$, where k is a nonnegative number with $k < 1$, then a mapping T has a unique fixed point in X . This famous principle is the foundation stone of nonlinear analysis. The theory has immense applications not only in pure mathematics, but also has gained a remarkable scope in applied mathematics, economics, mechanics, physics, engineering and other sciences. Fixed point and common fixed point of mappings has been obtained by the researcher using various definitions. [see [1-28] and the references cited therein]. In the year 2011, Azam et al.[1] introduced a more generalized space called complex valued metric space. Later, number of results has been given by researchers in the framework of complex valued metric space. The below mentioned definitions Azam et al.[1] are required in the sequel.

Take \mathbb{C} as a set of complex numbers & let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider a partial order ' \preceq ' on \mathbb{C} as below:

$$z_1 \preceq z_2 \text{ iff } \operatorname{Re}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Im}(z_2).$$

From this, it follows that $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if one of the below mentioned conditions is satisfied:

- (a) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.
- (b) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.
- (c) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.
- (d) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.

In particular, $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if (a) or (b) or (c) is satisfied and $z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (c) is satisfied.

Note: The following statements hold:

- (a) $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \ \& \ a \leq b \implies az \leq bz, \forall z \in \mathbb{C};$
- (b) $0 \leq z_1 \not\leq z_2 \implies |z_1| < |z_2|;$
- (c) $z_1 \leq z_2 \ \& \ z_2 < z_3 \implies z_1 < z_3.$

Definition 1.1. Let a non-empty set be X & $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies:

- (a) $0 \leq d(x, y) \forall x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y;$
- (b) $d(x, y) = d(y, x) \forall x, y \in X;$
- (c) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \forall x, y, z \in X.$

Then, d is s. t. ba complex valued metric defined on X and (X, d) is s. t. b a complex valued metric space.

A point $x \in X$ is s.t.ban interior point of $D \subseteq X$ if there is $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t $B(x, r) = \{y \in X: d(x, y) < r\} \subseteq D$. D , a subset of X is s.t.b open if each point of D is an interior point of D .

A point $x \in X$ is s. t. b a limit point of D if for every $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$, $B(x, r) \cap (D \setminus X) \neq \emptyset$. D , a subset of X is s. t. b closed if each limit point of D belongs to D .

Consider $\{x_n\}$ in X and $x \in X$. If $\forall c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $0 < c$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n > n_0, d(x_n, x) < c$, then x is s. t. ba limit of $\{x_n\}$ and we represent it as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If $\forall c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $0 < c$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n > n_0, d(x_n, x_{n+m}) < c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is s.t.b a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) and (X, d) is s. t. b a complete complex valued metric space if every Cauchy sequence is convergent in (X, d) .

Lemma 1.2 ([1]) Consider as complex valued metric space (X, d) and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X then

- (a) $\{x_n\}$ converges to x iff $|d(x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (b) $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence iff $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.3 ([2]) A pair of self mappings $S, A: X \rightarrow X$ is weakly compatible if there is a point $v \in X$ such that $Av = Sv$, then $ASv = SAV$ for each $u \in X$

Definition 1.4([28]) Now, define the ‘max’ (maximum) function for ‘ \leq ’ the partial order relation by:

- (a) $\max\{z_1, z_2\} = z_2 \iff z_1 \leq z_2.$
- (b) $z_1 \leq \max\{z_2, z_3\} \iff z_1 \leq z_2, \text{ or } z_1 \leq z_3$
- (c) $\max\{z_1, z_2\} = z_2 \iff z_1 \leq z_2 \text{ or } |z_1| \leq |z_2|$

This definition results in the following lemmas

Lemma 1.5([28]) Consider $z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots \dots \in \mathbb{C}$ and partial order relation \leq is defined on \mathbb{C} . Then following results can be proved easily:

- (a) If $z_1 \leq \max\{z_2, z_3\}$ then $z_1 \leq z_2$ if $z_3 \leq z_2$;
- (b) If $z_1 \leq \max\{z_2, z_3, z_4\}$ then $z_1 \leq z_2$ if $\max\{z_3, z_4\} \leq z_2$;
- (c) $z_1 \leq \max\{z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5\}$ then $z_1 \leq z_2$ if $\max\{z_3, z_4, z_5\} \leq z_2$,and so on.

Definition 1.6([28]) Let (X, d) a complex valued metric space and A & S are two maps from X to X . Then

- (a) the pair (A, S) is said to satisfy (E.A.)- property, if there exists $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t, \text{ for some } t \in X.$$

- (b) A and S are said to satisfy the (CLR) common limit range in the range of S property, if there exists $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = St, \text{ for some } t \in X.$$

2. Main Result

This section contains some results on common fixed points using (E.A.)-property & (CLR)-property.

Theorem 2.1: Let (X, d) a complex valued metric space and $A, B, S, T: X \rightarrow X$ be four self-mappings satisfying:

i) $A(X) \subseteq T(X), B(X) \subseteq S(X);$

ii) $\forall x, y \in X \ \& \ 0 < k < 1,$

$$d(Ax, By) \leq k \text{ Max} \left\{ d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Ty), d(Sx, Ty), \frac{1}{2} (d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Sx)) \right\};$$

iii) (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible pairs;

iv) either (A, S) or (B, T) satisfy (E.A.)-property.

If the range of $S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is a complete subspace of X , then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: First of all, suppose that (B, T) satisfy (E.A.)-property. Then, there is $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = t \text{ for some } t \in X. \quad \dots (2.1)$$

Further, $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$, therefore there is $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $Bx_n = Sy_n$.

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = t$. We claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = t$.

If not, then put $x = y_n, y = x_n$ in (ii), we obtain

$$d(Ay_n, Bx_n) \leq k \text{Max} \left\{ d(Ay_n, Sy_n), d(Bx_n, Tx_n), d(Sy_n, Tx_n), \frac{1}{2} (d(Ay_n, Tx_n) + d(Bx_n, Sy_n)) \right\}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.1), we have

$$d(Ay_n, t) \leq k \text{Max} \left\{ d(Ay_n, t), 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} (d(Ay_n, t) + 0) \right\}$$

Then $|d(Ay_n, t)| \leq k \left| \text{Max} \left\{ d(Ay_n, t), 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} d(Ay_n, t) \right\} \right|$

$$|d(Ay_n, t)| \leq k |d(Ay_n, t)| < |d(Ay_n, t)| \text{ as } 0 < k < 1,$$

a contradiction. Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = t$.

Now, let $S(X)$ be a closed subspace of X , therefore $t = Su$ for some $u \in X$.

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = t = Su. \quad \dots (2.2)$$

We claim $Au = Su$. Put $x = u$ and $y = x_n$ in (ii), we obtain

$$d(Au, Bx_n) \leq k \text{Max} \left\{ d(Au, Su), d(Bx_n, Tx_n), d(Su, Tx_n), \frac{1}{2} (d(Au, Tx_n) + d(Bx_n, Su)) \right\}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Au, t) &\leq k \text{Max} \left\{ d(Au, t), d(t, t), d(t, t), \frac{1}{2} (d(Au, t) + d(t, t)) \right\} \\ &= k \text{Max} \left\{ d(Au, t), 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} d(Au, t) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$d(Au, t) \leq kd(Au, t)$$

Then $|d(Au, t)| \leq |d(Au, t)| < |d(Au, t)|$ as $0 < k < 1$, a contradiction. Thus, u is a coincidence point of (A, S) .

Now, (A, S) is weakly compatibility, this implies $ASu = SAu$ or $At = St$.

On the other side, $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, there is v in X such that $Au = Tv$.

Hence, $Au = Su = Tv = t$. Now, we prove that $Bv = Tv = t$.

Put $x = u$, $y = v$ in (ii), we have

$$d(Au, Bv) \leq k \text{Max} \left\{ d(Au, Su), d(Bv, Tv), d(Su, Tv), \frac{1}{2} (d(Au, Tv) + d(Bv, Su)) \right\}$$

$$\text{ord}(t, Bv) \leq k \text{Max} \left\{ d(t, t), d(Bv, t), d(t, t), \frac{1}{2}(d(t, t) + d(Bv, t)) \right\}$$

$$\text{ord}(t, Bv) \leq k \text{Max} \left\{ 0, d(Bv, t), 0, \frac{1}{2}d(Bv, t) \right\}$$

or $|d(t, Bv)| \leq k|d(Bv, t)| < |d(Bv, t)|$ as $0 < k < 1$, a contradiction. Thus $Bv = t$.
Hence $Bv = Tv = t$.

Further, (B, T) are weakly compatible, this implies that $BTv = TBv$, or $Bt = Tt$.

Thus, t is a common coincidence point of A, B, S and T .

Next, to prove 't' is a common fixed point. Put $x = u$ and $y = t$ in (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(t, Bt) &= d(Au, Bt) \leq k \text{Max} \left\{ d(Au, Su), d(Bt, Tt), d(Su, Tt), \frac{1}{2}(d(Au, Tt) + d(Bt, Su)) \right\} \\ &= k \text{Max} \left\{ 0, 0, d(t, Bt), \frac{1}{2}(d(t, Bt) + d(Bt, t)) \right\} \\ &= k \text{Max} \{ 0, 0, d(t, Bt), d(t, Bt) \}, \end{aligned}$$

or $|d(t, Bt)| \leq k| \text{Max} \{ 0, 0, d(t, Bt), d(t, Bt) \} | \leq k|d(t, Bt)| < |d(t, Bt)|$, a contradiction.

Hence, $Bt = t$. Thus $At = Bt = St = Tt = t$.

Similar reasons arise if we take $T(X)$ a complete subspace of X . On the same lines, using (E.A.)-property for (A, S) , we get a similar result.

Uniqueness, let $w \neq t$ be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T in X . Then, put $x = w, y = t$ in (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Aw, Bt) &\leq k \text{Max} \left\{ d(Aw, Sw), d(Bt, Tt), d(Sw, Tt), \frac{1}{2}(d(Aw, Tt) + d(Bt, Sw)) \right\} \\ &= k \text{Max} \left\{ d(w, w), d(t, t), d(w, t), \frac{1}{2}(d(w, t) + d(t, w)) \right\} \\ &= k \text{Max} \{ 0, 0, d(w, t), d(w, t) \} \end{aligned}$$

$|d(w, t)| \leq k|d(w, t)| < |d(w, t)|$, a contradiction. Thus $w = t$. This implies uniqueness.

Theorem 2.2: Let (X, d) a complex valued metric space and $A, B, S, T: X \rightarrow X$ be four self-mapping satisfying:

i) $A(X) \subseteq T(X), B(X) \subseteq S(X);$

ii) $\forall x, y \in X \ \& \ 0 < k < 1,$

$$d(Ax, By) \leq k \text{Max} \left\{ d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Ty), d(Sx, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Sx)) \right\};$$

iii) (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible pairs.

If (A, S) satisfy (CLR_A) property or (B, T) satisfy (CLR_B) property, then A, B, S and T have a unique fixed point in X .

Proof: Firstly, suppose that (B, T) satisfy (CLR_B) property. Thus, there is a sequence

$\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = Bx \text{ for some } x \in X. \dots(2.3)$$

Further, $BX \subseteq SX$, we have $Bx = Su$, for some $u \in X$. We claim that $Au = Su = t$ (say).

Put $x = u$ and $y = x_n$ in (ii), we obtain

$$d(Au, Bx_n) \leq k \text{ Max} \left\{ d(Au, Su), d(Bx_n, Tx_n), d(Su, Tx_n), \frac{1}{2} (d(Au, Tx_n) + d(Bx_n, Su)) \right\}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.3), we have

$$d(Au, Bx) \leq k \text{ Max} \left\{ d(Au, Su), d(Bx, Bx), d(Su, Bx), \frac{1}{2} (d(Au, Bx) + d(Bx, Su)) \right\}$$

$$\leq k \text{ Max} \left\{ d(Au, Bx), d(Bx, Bx), d(Bx, Bx), \frac{1}{2} (d(Au, Bx) + d(Bx, Bx)) \right\}$$

$$\leq k \text{ Max} \left\{ d(Au, Bx), 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} d(Au, Bx) \right\}$$

$$|d(Au, Bx)| \leq k \left| \text{Max} \left\{ d(Au, Bx), 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} d(Au, Bx) \right\} \right|$$

$$|d(Au, Bx)| \leq k |d(Au, Bx)| < |d(Au, Bx)| \text{ as } 0 < k < 1, \text{ a contradiction Thus } Au = Su.$$

Hence $Au = Su = Bx = t$.

Now, (A, S) are weakly compatible, this implies that $ASu = SAu$ or $At = St$.

If, $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, therefore there is some $v \in X$ such that $Au = Tv$. Thus $Au = Su = Tv = t$.

Now, we show that $Bv = Tv = t$. For this, put $x = u, y = v$ in (ii), we obtain

$$d(Au, Bv) \leq k \text{ Max} \left\{ d(Au, Su), d(Bv, Tv), d(Su, Tv), \frac{1}{2} (d(Au, Tv) + d(Bv, Su)) \right\}$$

$$\text{or } d(t, Bv) \leq k \text{ Max} \left\{ d(t, t), d(Bv, t), d(t, t), \frac{1}{2} (d(t, t) + d(Bv, t)) \right\}$$

$$\text{or } d(t, Bv) \leq k \text{ Max} \left\{ 0, d(Bv, t), 0, \frac{1}{2} d(Bv, t) \right\}$$

$$\text{or } |d(t, Bv)| \leq k \left| \text{Max} \left\{ 0, d(Bv, t), 0, \frac{1}{2} d(Bv, t) \right\} \right|$$

or $|d(t, Bv)| \leq k |d(Bv, t)| < |d(Bv, t)|$ as $0 < k < 1$, a contradiction. Hence, $Bv = t$. Thus, $Bv = Tv = t$.

Further, (B, T) are weakly compatible, this implies $BTv = TBv$ or $Bt = Tt$. Thus, a common coincidence point of A, B, S and T is t .

Now, to prove that ' t ' is a common fixed point. Substitute $x = u$ and $y = t$ in (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(t, Bt) &= d(Au, Bt) \\ &\leq k \text{Max} \left\{ d(Au, Su), d(Bt, Tt), d(Su, Tt), \frac{1}{2} (d(Au, Tt) + d(Bt, Su)) \right\} \\ &= k \text{Max} \left\{ d(t, t), d(Bt, Bt), d(t, Bt), \frac{1}{2} (d(t, Bt) + d(Bt, t)) \right\} \\ &= k \text{Max} \{ 0, 0, d(t, Bt), d(t, Bt) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $|d(t, Bt)| \leq k |d(t, Bt)| < |d(t, Bt)|$ as $0 < k < 1$, a contradiction. Thus, $Bt = t$. Hence, $At = Bt = St = Tt = t$. We can prove uniqueness easily.

On the similar way, if (A, S) satisfy (CLR_A) -property, we will obtain the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

Remark 2.3 : In the present manuscript, we claim the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point using (E.A.)-property and (CLR)-property. In (E.A.)-property, we need the condition of closedness of subspace. But, in case of (CLR)-property no such condition is required. Hence, (CLR)-property is an interesting tool to check the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point.

References

[1] A. Azam, B. Fisher, and M. Khan, "Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces," Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 243-253, 2011.

[2] S. Bhatt, S. Chaukiyal, and R. C. Dimri, "A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible maps in complex valued metric spaces," International Journal of Mathematical Sciences & Applications, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 1385-1389, 2011.

[3] S. Chandok, "Some common fixed point theorems for generalized nonlinear contractive mappings," Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 62, no. 10, pp. 3692-3699, 2011.

[4] S. Chandok, "Common fixed points, invariant approximation and generalized weak contractions," International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol. 2012, Article ID 102980, 11 pages, 2012.

[5] S. Chandok, "Some common fixed point theorems for Ciric type contraction mapping," Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 187-202, 2012.

- [6] S. Chandok, "Common fixed points for generalized nonlinear contractive mappings in metric spaces," *Matematichki Vesnik*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 29–34, 2013.
- [7] S. Chandok, "Some common fixed point theorems for a pair of different compatible type mappings," *Annales Ordea University-Matematică Fascicola*, vol. 20, no. 2, 2013.
- [8] S. Chandok, "Some common fixed point results for generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered metric spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization: Theory and Applications*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 45–52, 2013.
- [9] S. Chandok, M. S. Khan, and K. P. R. Rao, "Some coupled common fixed point theorems for a pair of mappings satisfying a contractive condition of rational type without monotonicity," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 9–12, pp. 433–440, 2013.
- [10] S. Chandok and J. K. Kim, "Fixed point theorem in ordered metric spaces for generalized contraction mappings satisfying rational type expressions," *Journal of Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Application*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 301–306, 2012.
- [11] S. Chandok, J. Liang, and D. O'Regan, "Common fixed points and invariant approximations for noncommuting contraction mappings in strongly convex metric spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 14, 2013.
- [12] S. Chandok, T. D. Narang, and M. A. Taoudi, "Some common fixed point results in partially ordered metric spaces for generalized rational type contraction mappings," *Vietnam Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 323–331, 2013.
- [13] S. Chauhan, W. Sintunavarat, and P. Kumam, "Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces using (JCLR)-property," *Applied Mathematics*, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 976–982, 2012.
- [14] S. Chauhan, "Fixed points of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces satisfying common limit in the range property," *Indian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 375–397, 2012.
- [15] M. Jain, K. Tas, S. Kumar, and N. Gupta, "Coupled fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible maps along with CLR_g-property in fuzzy metric spaces," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2012, Article ID 961210, 13 pages, 2012.
- [16] G. Jungck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 771–779, 1986.
- [17] G. Jungck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points. II," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 285–288, 1988.
- [18] G. Jungck, "Common fixed points for commuting and compatible mapson compacta," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 103, no. 3, pp. 977–983, 1988.
- [19] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, "Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 287–296, 2006.

- [20] M. Kumar, P. Kumar, and S. Kumar, "Some common fixedpoint theorems using (CLRg)-property in cone metric spaces," *Advances Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 340–356, 2012.
- [21] H. K. Pathak, R. Rodriguez-López, and R. K. Verma, "A commonfixed point theorem of integral type using implicit relation," *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications*, vol. 15, no.1, pp. 1–12, 2010.
- [22] S. Sessa, "On a weak commutativity condition of mappings infixed point considerations," *Institut Mathématique Publications*, vol. 32, no. 46, pp. 149–153, 1982.
- [23] W. Sintunavarat, Y. J. Cho, and P. Kumam, "Common fixed point theorems for \square -distance in ordered cone metric spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 1969–1978, 2011.
- [24] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, "Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metricspaces," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2011, Article ID637958, 14 pages, 2011.
- [25] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, "Generalized common fixedpoint theorems in complex valued metric spaces and applications," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2012, article84, 2012.
- [26] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, "Common fixed points for R- weakly commuting in fuzzy metric spaces," *Annali dell'Università di Ferrara*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 389–406, 2012.
- [27] W. Sintunavarat, Y. J. Cho, and P. Kumam, "Urysohn integralequations approach by common fixed points in complex valued metric spaces," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2013, article 49, 2013.
- [28] R. K. Verma and H. K. Pathak, "Common fixed point theorems using property (E.A) in complex-valued metric spaces," *Thai Journal of Mathematics*. vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 347–355, 2013.