

## **The Influence Of Service Quality On Customer Satisfaction Regarding Banking Service**

**Dr. E. Selvarathinam Assistant Professor,**

**Department of Business Administration, H. H. The Rajah's College, Pudukkottai-622001**

**selvaau07@yahoo.com**

### **Abstract**

The current study had aimed to know the level of truth regarding all the above said issues from the customers themselves and also their perceptions regarding service quality which they are getting from the bank. The main objective of this research was to identify the service quality gap. The sample population of the study consisted of all those who had perceived the services of a private banks in Cuddalore district. The sample population of the study was selected through the method of convenient sampling. For the study purpose, 111 questionnaires were collected. . In this study expectation go above perceptions (negative gap), the client is dissatisfied and there is a problem in the banking service delivered. In this research overall expectation exceeds overall perceptions, and this shows that the client is dissatisfied.

**Keywords:** Service quality, satisfaction

### **INTRODUCTION**

Because of the ever increasing global competition, the private sector banks which are involved in retail banking are faced with intense pressures. Several policies are designed to withhold the customers and the success of such policies lies on the enhancement of the level of service quality. As the competitors are in the way to offer similar products of banking activities as offered by the private banks, the customers find it difficult to identify the differences in banking services between the private banks and their competitors. Parasuraman et al., (1985) and Zeithaml et al., (1990) had observed that the main tactic for achieving

success of any business and its long survival depends on the delivery of service quality for the customers. The satisfaction of customers and their attitude of loyalty are determined by the quality of service provided by the business.

Now in India the private banks have grown large in number. Some of them include; ICICI Banks, Jammu & Kashmir Bank, ING Vysya Bank, Karnataka Bank, SBI Commercial, Kotak Mahindra Bank, International Bank etc. The development of the banking industry in India owes its major credit to these private banks because of the nature of being tech-savvy and full of expertise people inside.

### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

The main goal of all the banks in India is to provide efficient customer service. For example, the Eco-bank had the theme of “customer first” for the year 2012. The reason for this quick change towards excellence in customer service is due to several accusations made by the general public as to rude attitude of the staff, delay in service delivery, unfair charges, and elevated charges for ATM and difficult and complex loan formalities. Another reason responsible for shifting the focus towards customer service is the lack of proper networks, which gets disconnected leading to loss of valuable time of the customers which they could spend for some other work. Further to notice is that the customers have the feeling that the rate of interest for deposits is low but the charges that banks levy on customers for loans are heavy.

The aforesaid problems make the customers dissatisfied in one way or the other regarding the level of service quality being offered by the banks. The awareness regarding all these facts is essential on the part of the bankers to redesign their policies for offering momentous service quality to the customers. The current study had aimed to know the level of truth regarding all the above said issues from the customers themselves and also their perceptions regarding service quality which they are getting from the banks. Further the major factors of customer dissatisfaction were also examined in the study.

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

The affective and cognitive responses of customers towards the perception of attributes of service, through which the customers are made satisfied by offering what they need, had been examined by many scholars. According to Churchill & Surprenant, 1982; Oliver, 1997; Fornell, 1992 and Cadott et al, 1987, consumer satisfaction and PSQ by Parasuraman et al, 1985, 1988; Rust & Oliver, 1994; Zeithaml et al, (1996) had been regarded as the dominant constructs in the field of service marketing as these concepts finally leads to enhancement of the loyalty of the customers. The perception of consumers regarding service quality is a very complex procedure. Hence, Brady & Cronin, 2001 had rightly said that there are many dimensions of service quality.

SERVQUAL is one among the most famous models, used in the area of service marketing, was designed by Parasuraman et al (1985, 1988). It is based on the gap of perception between received and expected service quality. This model has been utilized mainly to explain the perception of consumers regarding service quality. Initially 10 aspects of service quality were projected. Those included; reliability, competence, responsiveness, access, communication, courtesy, security, credibility, tangibility and understanding consumers. In later periods, these aspects were reduced to only 5 aspects, which included responsiveness, reliability, tangibles, assurances and empathy.

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The sample population of the study consisted of all those who had perceived the services of a private banks in Cuddalore district. The sample population of the study was selected through the method of convenient sampling. For the study purpose, 111 questionnaires were collected. Instrument was adopted from Parasuraman et al., (1985)

**ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION**

Paired sample t-test.

| SI | SERVICE QUALITY ATTRIBUTES                   | Perc | Exp  | Gap          | t-test value | p-val        |
|----|----------------------------------------------|------|------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1  | Update equipment                             | 3.31 | 3.18 | 0.13         | -0.995       | 0.322        |
| 2  | Visually appealing                           | 3.42 | 3.45 | -0.03        | 0.235        | 0.814        |
| 3  | Neat dressed                                 | 3.41 | 3.11 | 0.30         | -2.805       | <b>0.006</b> |
| 4  | Good appearance                              | 3.59 | 3.50 | 0.09         | -0.876       | 0.383        |
| 5  | Service as promised                          | 3.50 | 3.61 | <b>-0.11</b> | 0.831        | 0.408        |
| 6  | Bank employee is kind and supportive         | 3.67 | 3.58 | 0.09         | -0.832       | 0.407        |
| 7  | Bank employee is dependable                  | 3.54 | 3.49 | 0.05         | -0.451       | 0.653        |
| 8  | Promised time                                | 3.77 | 3.86 | <b>-0.09</b> | 0.713        | 0.478        |
| 9  | Records accurately                           | 3.87 | 3.86 | 0.01         | -0.134       | 0.894        |
| 10 | Bank employee inform clients                 | 2.41 | 2.24 | 0.17         | -1.546       | 0.125        |
| 11 | Do not provide prompt service                | 2.31 | 2.46 | <b>-0.15</b> | 1.439        | 0.153        |
| 12 | Not always to help clients                   | 2.61 | 2.56 | 0.05         | -0.502       | 0.617        |
| 13 | Too busy to respond to client's              | 2.72 | 2.77 | <b>-0.05</b> | 0.456        | 0.649        |
| 14 | Trust employees of the bank                  | 3.25 | 3.47 | <b>-0.22</b> | 1.972        | <b>0.050</b> |
| 15 | Feel safe in their transactions              | 3.43 | 3.69 | <b>-0.26</b> | 2.301        | <b>0.023</b> |
| 16 | Employees are always polite                  | 3.36 | 3.59 | <b>-0.23</b> | 1.77         | <b>0.080</b> |
| 17 | Adequate help from their employer            | 3.53 | 3.69 | <b>-0.16</b> | 1.347        | 0.181        |
| 18 | Give individual attention                    | 2.41 | 2.38 | 0.03         | -0.197       | 0.844        |
| 19 | Give personal attention to their clients     | 2.53 | 2.49 | 0.04         | -0.335       | 0.738        |
| 20 | Not aware of the needs of the clients        | 2.50 | 2.48 | 0.02         | -0.22        | 0.827        |
| 21 | Employees do not extend true hearted service | 2.28 | 2.51 | <b>-0.23</b> | 1.868        | 0.064        |
| 22 | Office hours not convenient for the clients  | 2.24 | 2.51 | <b>-0.27</b> | 1.67         | 0.098        |

The mean of each factor was considered in order to point to a measure of significance of every item as shown in Table. It was experiential that the mean score perception rating was less than the mean score expected rating which yields a negative gap. It was expected that the mean score perception rating was higher than the mean score expected rating which yields a positive gap. Such findings indicated that there were many factors that influence the satisfaction. The biggest positive gap of a value 0.30 was for service quality attribute 3. While the smallest positive gap of a value 0.02 was for service quality attributes 2 and 20.

Most of the Service quality attributes have no significant difference between SQ perception and SQ expectation few attributes like 3, 14, 15 and 16 have significant difference. This study was accomplished by using the service quality gap analysis to define and measure customer satisfaction with the bank service. In this study expectation go above perceptions (negative gap), the client is dissatisfied and there is a problem in the banking service delivered. In this research overall expectation exceeds overall perceptions, and this shows that the client is dissatisfied.

## **CONCLUSION**

The current study made a focus on the service quality of bank service and the satisfaction of customers by its usage. The findings of this study showed that the dissatisfaction in the usage of private banking service in Cuddalore district. It is a general suggestion that the banking services should be installed at areas where the general public can have easy access and also within the premises of the bank.

## **REFERENCE**

- Brady, M.K. and Cronin, J.J. Jr (2001), "Some new thoughts on conceptualizing perceived service quality: a hierarchical approach", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 65, pp. 34-49
- Cadotte, E. R., Woodruff, R. B., & Jenkins, R. L. (1987). Expectations and norms in models of consumer satisfaction. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 24. 305-314.
- Churchill, G. A., Jr., & Surprenant, C. (1982). An investigation into the determinants of customer satisfaction. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 19, 491-504.
- Fornell, C. (1992). A national customer satisfaction barometer: The Swedish experience. *Journal of Marketing*, 56. 6-21.
- Oliver, R. L. (1997). Effect of expectation and disconfirmation on postexposure product evaluations: An alternative interpretation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 62, 480-486.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1985). A conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research. *Journal of Marketing*, 49, 41-50.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1988). SERVQUAL: A multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality. *Journal of Retailing*, 64, 12-40

- Rust, R.T. and Oliver, R.L. (1994), "Service quality: insights and managerial implications from the frontier", in Rust, R.T. and Oliver, R.L. (Eds), *Service Quality: New Directions in Theory and Practice*, Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA, pp. 1-19.
- Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L. L., & Parasuraman, A. (1996). The behavioral consequences of Service quality. *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 60 April, pp. 31-46.
- Zeithaml, V., Parasuraman, A., & Berry, L. (1990). *Delivering Quality Service*. Free Press, New York, NY.