

IMPACT ON HUMAN CAPITAL AND ORGANIZATIONAL POWER IN IT COMPANIES

Aravindha Kugan .M .S
MBA, Department of Management Studies
Bharath Institute of Science and Technology,
Selaiyur, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600 073
Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research

ABSTRACT

Human Capital Management (HCM) is an approach to employee staffing that perceives people as assets (human capital) whose current value can be measured and whose future value can be enhanced through investment. While studying the existing literature the researcher identified two research gap The first lacunae encounters with the exact determination of human capital management elements in IT companies. The second gap emerged out of undivided issues of relationship between Human Capital Management and Organizational Effectiveness. This study is based on both primary and secondary data. Primary data is obtained from the well-structured questionnaire with statements regarding Human Capital Management and Organizational Effectiveness in liker's five point scale.

1. INTRODUCTION

Human Capital Management (HCM) is an approach to employee staffing that perceives people as assets (human capital) whose current value can be measured and whose future value can be enhanced through investment. Often the term human resources and human capital are mentioned together. But are they really different? If so, how? Simplistically, a human resources professional is usually an individual who manages the transactional activities of a company (e.g., payroll, benefits, compliance operations and reporting). Human Capital professionals, however, serve a strategic function in an organization. Strategic activities often managed by human capital professionals include performance management, professional development and human resources planning and measurement. HCM is sometimes defined more broadly without the emphasis on measurement. Chatzkel (2004) states that: "HCM is an integrated effort to manage and develop human capabilities to achieve significantly higher levels of performance". And Kearns (2005) describes HCM as : "The total development of human potential expressed as organizational value". He believes that "HCM is about creating value through people" and that it is "a people development philosophy, but the only development that means anything is that which is translated into value" (Baron, Armstrong, 2007).

HCM responds to the need of creating smart organizations by hiring the right people, giving them the right knowledge and providing them with ways to share that knowledge in order to benefit the entire organization (Afiouni, 2009). Human Capital is valuable to the extent that it contributes to a firm's competitive advantage by improving efficiency and effectiveness, exploiting opportunities or neutralizing threat. They are the only assets that appreciate with use. Human Capital is the employees' ability to do things that ultimately makes the company work and succeeds (Choudhury, Mishra, 2010). Medard et al (2012),"Human Capital is the stock of competencies, knowledge and personality attributes embodied in the ability to perform labor, so as to produce economic value".

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Human Capital represents various features and aspects of organizational employees. These features include but not restricted to their competencies, procedural knowledge and particular individual's characteristics like mental ability, zeal and enthusiasm, opinion, consistency, dedication, loyalty, innovativeness, ingenuity, ability to work in team and proficiency to attain organizational coveted objective (Fitz-enz, 2000). Human Capital means not only employee skills and knowledge that enhance productivity, but also the unique and valuable resource which can be accumulated by HR systems. However, organizations should recognize the core human capital, and be able to invest in it (Delery & Shaw, 2001).

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The following objectives are framed for this paper based on the gaps in the literature:

- To study the factors responsible for HCM in IT companies.
- To verify the relationship between HCM and Organizational effectiveness.

HYPOTHESIS

- The factors of HCM do not differ significantly.
- There is no significant influence of HCM on Organizational Effectiveness.

4. METHODOLOGY

This study is based on both primary and secondary data. Primary data is obtained from the well-structured questionnaire with statements regarding Human Capital Management and Organizational Effectiveness in liker's five point scale. The structured questionnaire is subjective to three steps namely pretesting, pilot study and main study. The researcher collected 50 samples from top 5 IT companies. To refined statements the likert's five point scale cronbach alpha method is applied on the statements of HCM and Organizational effectiveness and found the values are above 0.75 for all the 20 variables. It shows that the refinement is appropriate and researcher can be elevated to carry out the research in the domains of pilot study. The pilot study stage the researcher collected 110 samples for cross verifying the statements and scales. The exploratory factor analysis is done to verify the cross loading within the factors. Those statements are eliminated and further refinement is achieved in this stage. During the main study the researcher is able to collect 136 responses from the top 5 IT companies in Chennai city. The applications of confirmatory factor analysis and linear multiple regression analysis validated the present research work.

5. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

In this section the researcher applied factor analysis by principal component method to identify the factors of human capital management and organizational effectiveness. This helps to downsize the perfect representation factors accurately. The following research is the outcomes of multi - variate factor analysis.

**TABLE NO 1
KMO AND BARTLETT'S TEST**

MEASURE OF SAMPLING		.736
Bartlett's Test	Chi-Square	575.079
	Df	45
	Sig.	.000

Source: Computed from Primary data

From the above table it is found that the KMO measures for sampling adequacy for the 10 variables of human capital management is found to be 0.736, Bartlett's test of sphericity with approximate chi-square value is 575.079 are statistically significant at 5% level. This implies these three factors are statistically significant at 5% level and the derivations are presented in the following total variance table.

**TABLE NO 2
TOTAL VARIANCE EXPLAINED**

COMPONENT	INITIAL EIGENVALUES			ROTATION SUMS OF SQUARED LOADINGS		
	TOTAL	% OF VARIANCE	CUMULATIVE %	TOTAL	% OF VARIANCE	CUMULATIVE %
1	2.564	25.640	25.640	1.790	17.900	17.900
2	1.288	12.878	38.518	1.737	17.367	35.267
3	1.162	11.625	50.143	1.488	14.876	50.143
4	.856	8.557	58.700			
5	.809	8.087	66.787			
6	.801	8.008	74.795			
7	.728	7.284	82.079			
8	.661	6.607	88.687			
9	.598	5.976	94.663			
10	.534	5.337	100.000			

Source: Computed from Primary data

EXTRACTION METHOD: PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS

From the above table it is found that 10 variables are reduced into three predominant factors with cumulative variance 50.143% and they also possess individual variances 17.9%, 17.367% and 14.876%. This implies all the three factors derived namely training and developments, goal setting and executive developments are very essential in determining the Human Capital Management in IT companies. After deriving the three predominant factors their respective influence on organizational effectiveness is obtained through regression analysis.

**TABLE NO 3
MODEL SUMMARY**

MODEL	R	R SQUARE	ADJUSTED R SQUARE	STD. ERROR OF THE ESTIMATE
1	.52(a)	.260	.28	.98973214

Source: Computed from Primary data . a Predictors: (Constant), TD, GS, ED

From the above table it is found that R=0.162, R Square=0.260 and Adjusted R Square=0.25. This implies the Human Capital Management factors influence 26% variance on organizational effectiveness. This leads to the verification of regression fit as shown in the following ANOVA table.

**TABLE NO 4
ANOVA**

MODEL	SUM OF SQUARES	DF	MEAN SQUARE	F	SIG.
1 Regression	13.522	3	4.507	4.601	.003(a)
Residual	504.478	515	.980		
Total	518.000	518			

Source: Computed from Primary data a Predictors: (Constant), TD, GS, Eb
Dependent Variable: OE

From the above table it is found that F=4.601, P=0.003 are statistically significant at 5% level. This implies the relationship between Human Capital Management and Organizational Effectiveness are significant and their individual influence is measured in the following Coefficient table.

**TABLE NO 5
CO-EFFICIENT (A)**

MODEL		UNSTANDARDIZED COEFFICIENTS		STANDARDIZED COEFFICIENTS	T	SIG.
		B	STD. ERROR	BETA	B	STD. ERROR
1	(Constant)	-1.256	.385		-3.259	.001
	GS	.202	.075	.122	2.699	.007
	ED	-.023	.074	-.015	-.317	.752
	TD	.130	.063	.095	2.054	.040

Source: Computed from Primary data a Dependent Variable: OE

From the above table it is found that goal setting (Beta=0.122, T=2.699, P=0.007) , Training and Development (Beta=0.095, T=2.054, P=0.040) are statistically significant at 5% level. The Executive Development factor is not significant in influencing Organizational Effectiveness.

6. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

The present research revealed that the Human Capital Management in IT companies predominantly leads a part goal setting decided in the organization for all individual employees and the appropriate training and development to achieve the goals. The meticulous observation in the research identified that the Executive Development focus on IT companies did not utilise the Human Capital completely. Therefore it is concluded that Human Capital Management in the IT companies mainly focus on setting the targets and equipping the employees to achieve the target. Hence it is suggested the coordination among top level executives, middle level managers and operational level employees in IT companies for the collective efforts to manage the Human Capital positively.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Afiouni (2009). "Human Capital Management, What does it Really Mean?" Proceedings of the European Conference on Intellectual Capital, in Holland University of Applied Sciences, Haarlem, The Netherlands, 28-29 April.
- [2] Baron, A., & Armsrstrong, M. (2007). Human Capital Management: Achieving added value through people. London: Kogan page
- [3] Chatzkel, J.L. (2004). 'Human Capital : the rules of engagement are changing ', Lifelong learning in Europe, Vol.9, No.3, pp. 139-145.
- [4] Choudhury Jyotirmayee and Mishra B.B, (2010). "Theoretical and Empirical Investigation of Impact of Developmental HR configuration on Human Capital Management". International Business Research, Vol.3, No.4. October, pp.181-186.
- [5] Delery, J.E., & Shaw, J.D. (2001). The strategic management of people in work organizations: Review, synthesis, and extension. Research in personnel and Human Resources Management, 20: 165-197.